

## MARK CHURCH

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER & ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

## **Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form**

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a **typed** rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers. Please make sure you are using proper format before submitting to the Elections Office.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words				
Ballot	Measure K for the GENER	A Lto be held on		
<b></b> R€	Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure			
Sign	ed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter	Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument		
If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and <b>skip</b> the back side of this form.				
X	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument			
	Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	MARK WAHINKLE			
	Phone:	Email:		
Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument				
The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).				
	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)  Contact Person's Printed Name:			
	Phone:	Email:		
1				

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.gov web www.smcacre.gov

Rebuttal Argun	nent Signers Form	Pronouns	
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.			
Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. Honorifics such as M.D., Dr., Esquire, etc. with a name are not accepted.			
If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. <b>Type</b> information clearly.			
1. Name:	Title: PRESIDENT: SIZICON Valley	He/	
Phone:	TAxpayers Associa Tion	His: 🔝	
1 House	Ciliali.	She/	
Address:		Her:	
MORGAN HILL CA 95037  Date: 8-17-29			
digitaturi	8 - 17-24	Them:	
2. Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Phone: Address:	Email:	She/ Her:	
Address:			
Signature:	Date:	They/ Them:	
3. Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Phone:	Email:	She/ Her: $\square$	
Address:			
Signature:	Date:	They/ Them:	
4. Name:	Title:	He/ His:	
Phone:	Email:	Cha/	
Address:		She/ Her:	
Signature:	Date:	They/ Them: 🔲	
5. Name:	Title:	He/	
		His:	
Phone:	Email:	She/ Her:	
Address:			
Signature:	Date:	They/ Them:	

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

## <u>Cabrillo Unified School District \$153,400,000 Bond Tax: Rebuttal Against the</u> <u>Argument in Favor: Measure K</u>

Proponents say they want to "constructing teacher /staff housing" by raising your 7 taxes so teachers can have subsidized homes so they can continue to fail their students (see below). Z All while enrollment is DECLINING. ADA enrollment is down to 2,522 students 11 (2022/23)) from 3,013 in 2018/19. 16 Does that make any sense to you? NO? Then please vote NO on K. 1 This bond equals \$60,824 per student and that's before 30+ years of interest expenses at rates up to 12% Yikes!!! Will this \$153,400,000 bond debt be spent to hire or train great teachers to improve dismal student academics? Z Answer: **NO**, that's not legally permitted. Let's look at latest academic performance: E E 2022-23 school year results: 59.35% below grade level for English. 2022-23 school year results: 68.50 % below grade level for math. The District rewarded teachers for this dismal performance by increasing the average F 6. salary of \$73,886 in 2018-19 to \$95,271 (2022-23) plus up to \$11,167 in benefits. Source: California Department of Education Data Partnership - www.ed-data.org 3 Should you, the voters, reward the District for these failing scores in English and math? A If not, we encourage you to vote **NO on Measure K**. If you subsidize failure, you will get more failure! Reject failure - Vote No on K. www.svtaxpayers.org

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 2 0 2024

518

M B

Stact