

MARK CHURCH

CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER & ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a **typed** rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers. Please make sure you are using proper format before submitting to the Elections Office.

	count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 2				
Ballot	Measurefor the _	GENERAL	to be held on		
☑ Reg	ebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measu	re <u>P</u> Re	buttal to Argument Against Measure		
Sign	ed by Same Individual(s) Selected f	or the Voter Informatio	n Pamphlet for the Primary Argument		
If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.					
\boxtimes	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument				
	Contact Person's Printed Name:				
	MARK WAHINKLE				
	Phone:	Email:			
	408-779-7922	mar	Ke GARLIC. COM		
Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument					
The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize any other person or persons to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).					
	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)				
	Contact Person's Printed Name:				
	Phone:	Email:			

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.gov web www.smcacre.gov

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form				
No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.				
Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. Honorifics such as M.D., Dr., Esquire, etc. with a name are not accepted.				
If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association. By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Type information clearly.				
1. Name: MKRK WA HINKLE	Title: PRESIDENT: SIZICON Valley TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION	He/ His: 🔽		
Phone: 408-779-7927	TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION Email: Marke GARLIC.com	She/ Her:		
Address: 17545CHESBROLAKE DR. MORGAN HILL (A 95037 T				
Signature: Mark WA Hinkle	Date: 8 - 17-29	They/ Them: 🗌		
2. Name:	Title:	He/ His:		
Phone:	Email:	She/ Her:		
Address:		They/		
Signature:	Date:	Them:		
3. Name:	Title:	He/ His:		
Phone:	Email:	She/ Her:		
Address:				
Signature:	Date:	They/ Them: 🔲		
4. Name:	Title:	He/ His: \square		
Phone:	Email:	She/ Her:		
Address:				
Signature:	Date:	- They/ Them: □		
5. Name:	Title:	He/ His: \square		
Phone:	Email:	She/ Her:		
Address:		They/		
Signature:	Date:	Them:		

Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor: Measure P

Belmont Redwood Shores Elementary School District \$171,000,000 Bond Tax:

Proponents say they want to "replace aging technology" — technology that was bought with the money from the \$48MILLION bond debt that voters approved in 2014 to buy "updated classroom computers."

Would you take out a 30-year loan to buy technology that might last 5 or 6 years??

Nuts, right?

Yet that is what the district did in 2014, and wants to do (to you) again.

All this while enrollment is DECLINING. Average Daily Attendance is down to 3,810 students from 4,172 in 2018-19.

If that make no sense to you, then please vote NO on P.

This bond costs \$44,881 per student -- and that is before 30+ years of interest expense, at rates up to 12%. Yikes!!!

Will this \$171 MILLION be spent to hire or train great teachers to improve dismal student academics?

Answer: NO, such usage is not legally permitted by the measure.

Look at recent academic performance:

2022-23 school year results:

18.69% <u>below</u> grade level for English.

22.65% below grade level for math.

The district rewarded teachers for this dismal performance by increasing the average salary of \$86,816 in 2018-19 to \$100,113 (2022-23) plus up to \$16,066 in benefits.

Source: Education Data Partnership – www.ed-data.org

Should we reward the district for these scores in English and math?

If you reward failure, you will get more failure!

Reject failure - Vote No on PP.

Please visit us at www.SVTaxpayers.org.



にはろりてはらるららららとい