



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

**CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
CITY OF BURLINGAME**

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure must be submitted on this form.



The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 5pm on August 29, 2016. These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure I for the _____ to be held on Nov 7, 2017

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure I Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: MARK W.A. HINKLE Phone: _____

Mailing Address: _____

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame	Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Burlingame	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
--------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------	------------

1.	Name: MARK W.A. HINKLE	Title: Silicon Valley President: Taxpayers Association	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	[Redacted]	Email: [Redacted]				
	[Redacted]	Date: 8/28/17				

2.	Name: Harland Harrison	Title: Chair Libertarian Party of San Mateo County	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	[Redacted]	Email: [Redacted]				
	[Redacted]	Date: 8/28/17				

3.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

4.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

5.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

Rebuttal to the Argument in favor of Burlingame Sales Tax Increase: Measure I

Proponents say "Measure I is a fiscally responsible solution to repair potholes...". 11

However, their own resolution placing this tax increase on the ballot says otherwise. 13

Their resolution authorizing this proposed sales tax increase notes that Burlingame has "over \$100 million in unmet infrastructure needs for projects..." 12 9

They project "\$2,000,000 annually" in revenues from this tax increase. 10

In other words, this sales tax increase will take 50 years to finish the projects they say are a priority for Burlingame. Really, 50 years!!! 14 9

But, if potholes repairs, and all of the other projects, are truly a Burlingame City Council priority, why are they NOT in the current budget? 14 9

Budgets are how governments sets spending priorities. 7

Clearly "pot hole repair" and "maintaining city streets" are not priorities if it's going to take 50 years to complete. 15 5

Really??? Do you agree??? 4

When governments put tax increases on the ballot, they are indirectly saying everything in our current budget is MORE important than the projects supposedly to be funded by this sales tax increase. 13 4 15

Another sales tax increase will not only drive more purchases to online businesses, such as Amazon, where sales taxes can be avoided and away from local businesses, further hurting the local economy, furthermore it will hurt those on fixed incomes the hardest, i.e. the poor, the elderly, the disabled, and retired folks. 13 14 14 11

Tell them to be responsible by voting NO on Measure I. 10

Vote NO on the Burlingame Sales Tax increase. 8

For more information: www.svtaxpayers.org/burlingamesalestax 4

RECEIVED

AUG 28 2017

CITY CLERK'S OFFICE
CITY OF BURLINGAME

THO words

