

Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the author(s) submitting it, or, if submitted on behalf of an organization, the name of the organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers who is the author of the argument; and the form statement provided in Elections Code section 9600 signed by each proponent and by each author, if different, of the argument.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 5pm on August 29, 2016. These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure N for the GENERAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION to be held on NOV 8 2016

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure N Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back-side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:

DAN STEGINK

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned proponent(s) or author(s) of the rebuttal argument ^{to the} ~~AGAINST~~ (in favor of ~~against~~) ballot proposition N (name or number) at the General Municipal election for the City of Pacifica to be held on November 8, 2016 hereby state that this argument is true and correct to the best of THEIR (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

			Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica	Member of the Legislative Body of the City of Pacifica	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1.	Name: <u>JOHN KEENER</u>	Title: <u>CITY COUNCIL MEMBER</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Date: <u>8/23/16</u>					
2.	Name: <u>Margaret L Goodale</u>	Title: <u>Pacific Climate Committee member</u> ^{CLIMATE}	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Date: <u>23 August 16</u>					
3.	Name: <u>TONIA BOYKIN</u>	Title: <u>BUSINESS OWNER</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Date: <u>8/26/2016</u>					
4.	Name: <u>DAN STEINK</u>	Title: <u>FOUNDER OF WEBSITE WWW.PACIFICA.CITY</u>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	Date: <u>8/26/16</u>					
5.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

Arguments in support or opposition of the proposed laws are the opinions of the authors.

Rebuttal to Argument In Favor of Measure N

- **\$58 MILLION.** That's the estimated cost to Pacificans. Principal and interest will average about \$3,000 per household. Seniors and low or fixed income people are not exempt.
- **ANOTHER \$50 MILLION.** That's the additional cost for maintaining the seawall and repeatedly replacing tons of sand on the beach. The City-funded study ignored the county vulnerability map which predicts potential flooding from higher sea levels. Every Pacifican witnessed the future in last winter's storm damage.
- **\$57 MILLION.** That's the amount of currently known City of Pacifica debt.
- Sanchez Library will be demolished. No services will be available to many seniors, kids, students and people with disabilities. Public transportation is infrequent and unreliable in Pacifica. The City's own evaluation for Sanchez Library shows that it can be updated, that it is "mostly accessible... qualifies as a Benchmark Building... ", is "considered structurally Life-Safe", and is in "general good condition".
- We are library users and love neighborhood libraries. The outstanding debt and infrastructure needs of the City do not justify such a costly measure at the proposed location.

VOTE NO ON MEASURE "N"