



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

REC'D CITY CLERK
2022 AUG 24 PM 4:29:35

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure AA for the City of South San Francisco to be held on November 8, 2022.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure AA Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument	
If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument
Contact Person's Printed Name:	
Phone:	Email:
Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument	
The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize <i>any other person or persons</i> to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)
Contact Person's Printed Name:	
Cory Alan David	
Phone:	Email:

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1.	Name: Cory Alan David	Title: 65 year resident of South San Francisco
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] South San Francisco, CA. 94080	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: 8-23-2022
2.	Name: Cynthia Marcepuk	Title: Resident since 1984
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] So. San Francisco, CA 94080	
	[REDACTED]	Date: 8-21-2022
3.	Name: Frank Scatani	Title: Resident
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] So San Francisco CA 94080	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: 8-21-22
4.	Name: WARREN WOOD	Title:
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] SFF CA	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: 08-22-22
5.	Name: William A. Fox	Title: VIET NAM VETERAN
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] South SAN FRANCISCO CA 94080	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: 8/23/22

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

**Authorization Form for
Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments**

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of against (circle one) Measure AA for the Election to be held on November 8, 2022 :
(date of election)

NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: William Arthur Fox

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _____

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _____

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _____

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _____

(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form)

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Cory Alan David  8-22-2022
Printed Name and Signature of Author Date

Printed Name and Signature of Author Date

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF MEASURE AA

There is no better way to rebut the argument in favor of Measure AA than to address not what was said, but what was NOT SAID. FOR SOME REASON, THEY NEGLECTED TO INCLUDE THE BAD.

Why no mention that housing is "unattainable" due to a shortage created by open invitations and solicitations to industries with thousands of workers to locate in SSF?

Why no mention of the city sponsored BAE housing study that reflected a city deficit of anywhere between \$47 and \$85 million for ONLY 150 UNITS?

After insulting their community and WWII veterans, early settlers in SSF suburbs, why no mention of the racial exclusionary component that the council used as justification to advance this measure to the ballot? Did they finally research the ethnic mix in their already diverse city?

Why no mention of lost property tax revenue?

Why no mention of alternative funding sources (taxes) should the city come up short?

Why no mention of tenancy open to all comers, not exclusively SSF residents, should State or Federal funding be accepted?

Why no mention of needed, already strained, additional infrastructure?

Why no mention of this being a taxpayer financed "pet project" of one councilman who volunteered SSF to set an example for the rest of the Bay Area?

Worst of all, why do they claim this measure will not raise taxes when they have acknowledged there are NO GUARANTEED, IDENTIFIABLE FUNDING SOURCES?

THIS MEASURE IS A "BLANK CHECK" FUNDED WITH SPECULATION. VOTE NO ON MEASURE AA!

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

AUG 29 2022

MARK CH [REDACTED] Elections Officer
By: _____
DEPUTY CLERK