



OFFICE OF  
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS**  
**REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION**  
 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

### Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure R for the La Honda Pescadero Unified S to be held on November 8, 2022

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure \_\_\_\_\_  Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure R

#### Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and **skip** the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Phone:

Email:

#### Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Renee Erridge

Phone:

Email:

**Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.**

**Please complete the reverse side of this form.**

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

### Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1.	Name: Renee Erridge	Title: Trustee
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED]	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: August 28, 2022
2.	Name: Dave Meyrovich	Title: Trustee
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] La Honda, CA 94020	
	[REDACTED]	Date: August 29, 2022
3.	Name: Patricia Talbot	Title: Retired Educator
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] Pescadero, Ca. 94060 ([REDACTED])	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: 8/29/2022
4.	Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
	Address:	
	Signature:	Date:
5.	Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
	Address:	
	Signature:	Date:

①

④

②

**Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.**

**Rebuttal Argument Signers Form**

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title. If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1.	Name: Renee Erridge	Title: Trustee
Phone: [REDACTED]		Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED]		
Signature: DocuSigned by: [REDACTED]		Date: August 28, 2022
2.	Name: 8461B26DEFD2477... Nicholas Erridge	Title: <i>all</i> <del>Property Owner</del> / <i>all</i> Councilor PMAC founder
Phone: [REDACTED]		Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED] Pescadero, CA 94060		
Signature: [REDACTED]		Date: 08-29-2022
3.	Name:	Title:
Phone:		Email:
Address:		
Signature:		Date:
4.	Name:	Title:
Phone:		Email:
Address:		
Signature:		Date:
5.	Name:	Title:
Phone:		Email:
Address:		
Signature:		Date:

(3)

**Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.**



OFFICE OF  
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS**  
**REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION**  
 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

**Authorization Form for  
 Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments**

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against (circle one) Measure R for the Election to be held on November 8, 2022 :  
 (date of election)

**NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):**

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Nicholas Erridge

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Patricia Talbot

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Dave Meyrovich

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: \_\_\_\_\_

**(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form)**

**NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):**

Renee Erridge \_\_\_\_\_

August 28, 2022

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Date



Printed Name and Signature of Author

Aug 28, 2022

Date

**Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure R**

SVTA has one goal -- to protect taxpayers by reducing funding for kids. In this election, they submitted blanket arguments against multiple California schools that neither consider our local issues nor solve local problems. For example: >35

Cost-per-student metrics favor large schools over small, failing to consider economies of scale. Surely LHPUSD students deserve the same learning opportunities as students in larger districts? >28

While California paused most student testing during the pandemic, when testing resumed in spring 2022, LHPUSD students made greater gains than the rest of San Mateo County. Often these results are withheld to respect the anonymity of small class sizes. That said, LHPUSD maintained student achievement despite a crippling pandemic. >48

Scores are embargoed at the time of this writing but can be found at <http://www.lhpud.com/bond> when publically released. >18

Let's build on this success with Measure R. 7

Measure R recognizes the limitations of our state-driven budget model and provides funding to modernize school facilities. No other source of funding exists to upgrade our schools. >27

Measure R is locally controlled. Every dollar stays here, benefitting our community, students, and property values. >15

Measure R makes financial sense and has strict accountability. An independent oversight committee of residents must annually audit Measure R spending by law. >21

Many respected community members support Measure R. Don't be misled! Vote YES on R to improve our La Honda and Pescadero communities! >20

219

**FILED** IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER  
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

**AUG 29 2022**

MARK [redacted] er  
By: [redacted] DEPUTY CLERK