



OFFICE OF  
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS**  
**REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION**  
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

### Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure T for the So S.F. Unified School District to be held on November 8, 2022.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure T       Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure \_\_\_\_\_

#### Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and **skip** the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Phone:

Email:

#### Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person's Printed Name:

William M. Romero

Phone:

Email:

**Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.**

**Please complete the reverse side of this form.**

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

**P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org**

### Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1.	Name: William M. Romero	Title: Former member, SSF Measure J Oversight Committee
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED] South San Francisco, CA 94080		
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: August 23, 2022
2.	Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
Address:		
	Signature:	Date:
3.	Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
Address:		
	Signature:	Date:
4.	Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
Address:		
	Signature:	Date:
5.	Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
Address:		
	Signature:	Date:

**Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.**



OFFICE OF  
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS**  
**REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION**  
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

**Authorization Form for  
Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments**

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against (circle one) Measure   **T**   for the Election to be held on   **NOV 8, 2022**    
(date of election)

**NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):**

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer:   **WILLIAM M. Romero**  

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: \_\_\_\_\_


Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: \_\_\_\_\_

**(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form)**

**NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):**

  **Elisa Nunez**   

Printed Name and Signature of Author

  **8/23/22**    
Date

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Date

**MEASURE T REBUTTAL**

**VOTE NO on Measure T, to ensure that the South San Francisco Unified School District Board of Trustees does not abuse the public trust again, which happened after Measure J was approved by voters.** } 26

**VOTE NO to reaffirm to the Board of Trustees that voters are aware that they are responsible for failure to properly repair and maintain District properties, not taxpayers.** } 28

**VOTE NO to inform the Board that when Measure J was approved by voters, repairs and upgrades should have been made with those funds. \$25 million was wasted on a District wide solar power project, instead of being invested to repair and maintain existing facilities.** } 44

**VOTE NO to convey to the Board that voters will not tolerate the same type of misuse of public funds, which occurred when Measure J funds were spent improperly.** } 28

**VOTE NO to advise the Board that they should not have disregarded the advice and recommendations by the Measure J Bond Oversight Committee. What good is an oversight committee that has no authority and is ignored by the Board of Trustees?** } 40

**VOTE NO to ensure that the Board of Trustees does not incur a billion-dollar debt for current and future taxpayers. SSFUSD property tax payments are already some of the highest in the country and we still have 30 years to pay for Measure J.** } 44

**VOTE NO so the Board of Trustees will know that taxpayers are tired of their incompetence and refuse to continue funding their mistakes.** } 23

**FILED** IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER  
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

233

AUG 29 2022

MAF [REDACTED] Chief Elections Officer  
By: [REDACTED] DEPUTY CLERK