

Rebuttal to Against I



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure I for the Ravenswood City School District to be held on June 7, 2022

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure _____ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure I

Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and **skip** the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Phone:

Email:

Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Jenny Bloom

Phone:

Email:

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402

P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

**Authorization Form for
Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments**

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against (circle one) Measure I for the Election to be held on June 7, 2022 :
(date of election)

NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Tamara Sobomehin

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Appollonia Uhila

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Sharifa Wilson

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: ^{SIAA} Bronwyn Alexander

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Alicia Gutierrez

(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form)

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Jenny Bloom  3/28/22
Printed Name and Signature of Author Date

Printed Name and Signature of Author Date

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1.	Name: <i>Tamara Sobomehin</i>	Title: <i>Vice President RCD Board</i>
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] <i>East Palo Alto CA 94303</i>	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: <i>3/24/2022</i>
2.	Name: <i>Appollonia Uhila</i>	Title: <i>Pacific Islander Community Leader, EPA</i>
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] <i>East Palo Alto, CA 94303</i>	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: <i>3/23/22</i>
3.	Name: <i>SHARIFA WILSON</i>	Title: <i>Member Bond Oversight Committee</i>
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] <i>East Palo Alto, CA 94303</i>	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: <i>3/24/22</i>
4.	Name: <i>Branyn Alexander</i>	Title: <i>Clerk, RCD Board</i>
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] <i>Menlo Park CA 94025</i>	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: <i>3/24/22</i>
5.	Name: <i>Alicia Gutierrez</i>	Title: <i>Family Engagement Specialist, City of East Palo Alto</i>
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
	Address: [REDACTED] <i>East Palo Alto CA 94303</i>	
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: <i>3/24/22</i>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure I

It's the same argument, by someone not living in Ravenswood City School District, once again, recommending that we do nothing to invest in our children. Don't believe the libertarian party's fearmongering.

12 ✓
15 ✓
1 ✓

Vote YES on Measure I. Ravenswood has among the **lowest bond tax rate** for school districts in the County, our **last interest rate was UNDER 3%**, **tax rates are considerably LOWER than promised, and the bonds- principal and interest- cost millions LESS than expected.** Also our per pupil funding is just average for the county, even with one time stimulus funds. When adjusting for need, it is among the lowest.

16 ✓
15 ✓
13 ✓
17 ✓
9 ✓

Ravenswood City School District has transparently and effectively used previous bonds to make big structural investments to keep our students warm, safe, and dry. This included key technology infrastructure, NOT laptops. Now is the time to make sure all our over 50 year old **classrooms** are ready for today and tomorrow. Measure I will create high quality permanent learning spaces for our children.

10 ✓
14 ✓
17 ✓
14 ✓
5 ✓

Measure I makes financial sense and increases the quality of our schools for all of our community:

16 15 ✓
1 ✓

- All our bonds will go to significant construction projects
- These investments will be **controlled** locally, with community input, citizen oversight and annual audits to ensure funds are used as promised
- Measure I will provide the local match for millions of dollars in **State matching funds**
- No funds can be used for administrators' salaries, benefits or pensions.

9 ✓
11 ✓
10 ✓
15 14 ✓
11 ✓

Measure I is endorsed by parents, teachers, business owners, and community leaders, **Vote YES ON MEASURE I.**

13 11 ✓
4 3 ✓

Learn more at YESONRAVENSWOOD.ORG

4 ✓

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

247

MAR 28 2022

MAF
By:



DEPUTY CLERK