



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

rebuttal to argument
in favor
of
C

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure C for the primary election to be held on 6-7-22

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure C Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and **skip** the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Phone:

Email:

Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person's Printed Name:

MARK HINKLE

Phone:

Email:

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION
 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

**Authorization Form for
 Change in Signers of Rebuttal Arguments**

Pursuant to California Elections Code §§9167, 9317 and 9504, the author(s) of the primary argument in favor of or against a measure may authorize in writing *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument.

The undersigned author(s) of the primary argument hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) to sign (up to five) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against (circle one) Measure C for the Election to be held on 6-7-22:
 (date of election)

NEW SIGNER(S) (PRINT CLEARLY):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: ELAINE GOLOVIN

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _____

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _____

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _____

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: _____

(The new signers listed here must sign the Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form)

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF THE PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

MARK W.A. HINKLE  _____
 Printed Name and Signature of Author

3-28-22
 Date

 Printed Name and Signature of Author

 Date

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

(1)

1. Name:	MARK W.A. HINKLE	Title:	PRESIDENT: SILICON VALLEY TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION
Phone:	[REDACTED]	Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Morgan Hill, CA 95037		
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date:	3-21-22

(2)

2. Name:		Title:	
Phone:		Email:	
Address:			
Signature:		Date:	

3. Name:	ELAINE GOLOVIN	Title:	DISTRICT RESIDENT
Phone:	[REDACTED]	Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] BELMONT CA 94002		
Signature:	[REDACTED]	Date:	3/22/22

4. Name:		Title:	
Phone:		Email:	
Address:			
Signature:		Date:	

5. Name:		Title:	
Phone:		Email:	
Address:			
Signature:		Date:	

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

Belmont-Redwood Shores School District \$292 Parcel Tax: Rebuttal - Measure C

With this latest, supposedly “temporary parcel tax”, the Belmont-Redwood Shores School District claims they need more money to “preserve academic excellence.”

Do they have academic excellence to preserve?

Let’s check students’ test scores for English proficiency;

From 2018 to 2021, results declined: only 80.19% meet proficiency,

That means 19.81% of the students do NOT meet standards.

Math proficiency also declined: only 74.65% meet standards,

(Source: California Department of Education’s Education Data Partnership:
www.Ed-Data.org)

If you got 75% on a test, that would be a C grade, right?

Is 75% proficiency your definition of “academic excellence”???

If not, **vote NO on Measure C.**

Should voters and taxpayers reward a 25% failure rate?

Parents know when we reward failure, we just get more failure!

In 2018, voters approved a **parcel tax of \$590** (\$118 x 5 years), **on top of regular property tax and these existing extra burdens:**

- \$174/year parcel tax from 2013 (\$1,740/home),
- two 2010 bonds (debt!) totaling \$60 million, and
- another \$48 million bond from 2014.

(Oh! That amount isn’t fixed: “annual adjustments” means that while families are scrambling to cover a recent 7.9% rise in prices, **they’d also be covering the district’s inflation cost — for a whole decade.**)

Vote NO on Measure C.

Belmont-Redwood Shores School District annually spends **\$12,479 per child.**

8 ✓
9 10
7 ✓
8 ✓
10 ✓
10 ✓
8 ✓
5 ✓
1 ✓
14 ✓
8 ✓
7 6
9 ✓
11 ✓
16 ✓
7 ✓
8 7
8 7
6 5
12 ✓
14 ✓
7 ✓
4
6 ✓
↓

The district seeks to be rewarded with more of your hard-earned money, — despite declining academics!

13✓
2✓

Tell Belmont-Redwood Shores School District don't reward failure!!!

5✓
/ 4

Vote NO on Measure C.

For information; www.svtaxpayers.org

3✓

(226)

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

MAR 28 2022

MAF [REDACTED] Chief Elections Officer
By: [REDACTED]