

Rebuttal to in favor of E



OFFICE OF  
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS**  
**REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION**  
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

**Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form**

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 wrdcs

Ballot Measure E for the Primary Election to be held on 6-7-22

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure E       Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure \_\_\_\_\_

**Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument**

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and **skip** the back side of this form.

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument	
	Contact Person's Printed Name: <u>MARK HINKLE</u>	
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]

**Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument**

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

<input type="checkbox"/>	Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)	
	Contact Person's Printed Name:	
	Phone:	Email:

**Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.**

**Please complete the reverse side of this form.**

**Millbrae Elementary School District \$90,000,000 Bond Issue: Rebuttal to Measure E**

Millbrae Elementary School District's enrollment has declined nearly 9.4% since 2014, from 2,469 students to 2,238 (in 2020-21). Yet they want to "construct classrooms." For whom?

6 ✓  
14 ✓  
4 ✓  
13

The district wants to borrow \$90 million **plus incur 30–40 years of interest** at rates as high as 12% (legal limit). **Who has to pick up the tab? You — through increased property taxes.**

14 ✓  
16 ✓  
4 ✓  
12

These days, families are grappling with a **7.9% government caused inflation**, so they **need tax RELIEF**, not the burden of another eternal "temporary" tax.

10 ✓  
12 ✓  
2 ✓

Let's do the math: \$90 million divided by 2,238 students = **\$40,214 debt per child.**

12 ✓  
2 ✓  
11

That's on top of the **\$12,164 they spend per child annually** from property taxes. With class size averaging 23.5, this is \$285,854 per classroom per year.

13 ✓  
12 ✓  
1 ✓  
7 ✓  
2 ✓  
9

*(Source: California Department of Education's Education Data Partnership: www.Ed-Data.org)*

Would your family or business take out a 30-year loan to buy a personal computer?

15 ✓  
1 ✓  
14

That would be nuts, right? But that's what this measure proposes: "[Update] science, technology, engineering/math labs." **Just like in 2008 (\$30 million) and 2011 (another \$30 million) for now-obsolete technology — which you, and sadly your children, are still paying off!**

12 ✓  
10 ✓  
10 ✓  
7 ✓  
10 ✓

Does either of those expense burdens make any sense whatsoever? Clearly, no!

11 ✓  
1 ✓

Don't reward policies of big debt and bad spending. Do not let them **saddle you with bonded indebtedness**, to buy technology that goes obsolete in 4–5 years.

14 ✓  
13 ✓  
1 ✓

**Vote NO on Measure E.**

7 ✓  
9



For information; [www.SVTaxpayers.org](http://www.SVTaxpayers.org)

3. ✓

226

**FILED** IN THE OFFICE OF THE  
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER  
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

MAR 28 2022

MARK CHURCHILL, CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER

By: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

DEPUTY CLERK