

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure H

After 2 previous bond issues totaling more than **half a billion dollars**, voters wonder why promised campus improvements haven't been completed.

- In a classic "bait & switch," the Board of Trustees ignores what they say in the bond language. A College District spokesperson has said, "We're trying to not be specific about anything because plans change."

Voters were told that bond funds would be used to help students by modernizing and repairing outdated and unsafe facilities.

- Instead, the bulk of the money has gone into tearing down classic campus buildings and constructing lavish new buildings – including a penthouse-style office for the CSM President and a luxurious athletic club for paying members that competes with private clubs.

And how can Trustees raze valuable county-wide resources, such as CSM's Horticultural classrooms, greenhouses and gardens, for a staff parking lot –without student or public involvement?

- Is this why the Board voted to exempt itself from city and county zoning ordinances?
- Does this make sense with the growing horticultural interest in sustainability & water conservation?

Trustees promise that a Citizens' Oversight Committee will ensure projects conform to the bond language, but have they?

- A former Oversight Committee member questions whether they have been fully informed about controversial projects.

Two pending lawsuits against the District, negative reports from the Grand Jury, and student demonstrations show eroding trust in the Board.

Join students and the community in voting NO on Measure H.

Visit: votenoonmeasureh.wordpress.com

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

AUG 29 2011

MARK CHURCH, Chief Elections Officer

By: Megan Craft
DEPUTY CLERK

Handwritten notes on the right margin, including a vertical list of numbers: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50.



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 8/29/11.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure H for the UDEL ELECTION to be held on NOV. 8, 2011.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure H Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person: <u>DONNA BISCHOFF</u>	Phone: [REDACTED]
Mailing Address: [REDACTED]	
Fax:	Email: [REDACTED]

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

		Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1.	Name: [REDACTED] Title: [REDACTED] Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED] Date: [REDACTED]	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	Name: JONATHAN TOLMACH Title: BUILDING MAINTENANCE MANAGER Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED] Date: 8-29-11	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.	Name: ADAM M. ERNAT Title: FORMER CSM GARDENER-HORT. STUDENT Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED] Date: 8/29/2011 8/29/2011	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.	Name: DONNA BISCHOFF Title: COMMUNITY VOLUNTEER/ CSM STUDENT Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED] Date: August 29, 2011	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.	Name: SHAWN KANN Title: CSM Student Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED] Date: 08/29/2011	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

NOT REg

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated