



OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
REGISTRATION & ELECTIONS DIVISION
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and an argument against a measure have been selected for publication in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author(s) of the primary argument of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument will not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens, the name of the association and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250 words

Ballot Measure A for the Special Municipal Election to be held on November 2, 2021

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure _____ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by the same individual(s) as those already selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the primary argument, check the following box and skip the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

Contact Person's Printed Name:
Alexander Tauber

Phone:

Email:

Signed by Different Individual(s) than Individual(s) Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet for the Primary Argument

The author(s) of the primary argument may authorize *any other person or persons* to sign the rebuttal argument. If signers are new for the rebuttal argument, please check the following box, complete the back side of this form and attach the written authorization (the Authorization Form for Change in Signers of Rebuttal Argument) from the primary argument author(s).

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by New Signers as Authorized by Primary Argument Author(s)

Contact Person's Printed Name:

Phone:

Email:

Arguments will be emailed to the contact person listed here for review before they are printed in the Sample Ballot & Official Voter Information Pamphlets.

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below. A signer can only list one title.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association and may include their position within that association.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading. Print information clearly.

1.	Name: Alexander Tauber	Title: Treasurer
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED] Woodside, CA 94062		
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: August 2, 2021
2.	Name: Peter Bailey	Title: Officer
	Phone: [REDACTED]	Email: [REDACTED]
Address: [REDACTED] Woodside, CA 94062		
	Signature: [REDACTED]	Date: 8/2/21
3.	Name: [REDACTED]	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
Address:		
	Signature:	Date:
4.	Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
Address:		
	Signature:	Date:
5.	Name:	Title:
	Phone:	Email:
Address:		
	Signature:	Date:

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

Starts here

Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure A

Our response to Mr. Pugh's arguments against Measure A are as follows:

Measure J to limit commercial development "was passed by a landslide of 72% of the voters."

- The results of the election for Measure J were 1,517 "Yes" votes versus 1,355 "No" votes. Thus, only 52.8% of the vote was in support of Measure J in 1988.

"What is this really about? Money. It is a ploy by Bacchus Management, owner of Woodside Bakery, to allow open space lot to be bulldozed and to make more money."

- Alexander Tauber and Peter Bailey, Measure A's leaders, are residents of Woodside who have no ownership in Woodside Bakery. Alex Tauber and Peter Bailey have never met the owners of Bacchus Management.
- The owners of Roberts Market own the property in question, and they should decide what to do with that property, within the limits of the town Zoning Ordinance.

"The amphitheater is completely unrelated issue to restaurant parking."

- The amphitheater and outdoor dining are very related to each other – they both double down on the outdoor gathering spaces that make our community so special.

"Are their plans to have rock concerts and charge admission?"

- Any use of the proposed public gathering spot would be subject to the Conditional Use Permit process which are conducted at public meetings.

"The folks sponsoring this initiative ... have now forced the Town to spend nearly \$80,000 on this election."

- With the election being held on November 2nd, the costs will be significantly less than originally estimated.

Ends here

RECEIVED

AUG 16 2021

WOODSIDE TOWN HALL