



OFFICE OF
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-
 RECORDER & ELECTIONS**
 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

CITY OF FOSTER CITY
 EMID **MARK CHURCH**
 CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &
 ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER
 18 AUG 23 AM 10:41

RECEIVED

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 8/27/2018.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure TS for the General Election to be held on 11/6/2018.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure _____ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure TS

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:	Phone:
Mailing Address:	
Fax:	Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed. Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
--	--	--	------------

1.	Name: <u>Herbert John Perez</u>	Title: <u>Council member</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone: [Redacted]	Email: [Redacted]				
	Address: [Redacted] <u>FC</u>					
	Signature: [Redacted]	Date: <u>8/20/2015</u>				
2.	Name: <u>CHARLES STEVEN PRONISKY</u>	Title: <u>COUNCIL MEMBER</u>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone: [Redacted]	Email: [Redacted]				
	Address: [Redacted] <u>Foster Ck CA</u>					
	Signature: [Redacted]	Date: <u>8/20/15</u>				
3.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
4.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				
5.	Name:	Title:	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Phone:	Email:				
	Address:					
	Signature:	Date:				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated

REBUTTAL TO ARGUMENT AGAINST MEASURE TT

18 AUG 23 AM 10:41

20 While hotel guests may not have the right to vote on Measures in cities in which they do not live,
14 they do add to the cost of maintaining the City and its infrastructure. Transit Occupancy Taxes
15 (TOT) are common throughout California and elsewhere and are a means of ensuring that hotel
16 guests pay their share of the City resources that they use. The argument against presumes that
14 the residential taxpayer should bear that burden alone. This measure only equalizes the sharing
12 of that cost among those who create the need to bear it.

13 Foster City has historically had the lowest TOT in San Mateo County and these increases will
16 not change that. Hotel guests, like residents, are able to enjoy the features of Foster City, its
17 parks and its streets. They are protected from harm by our police and fire fighters. They use our
15 water and wastewater. They create trash and recycling that we must collect and dispose of.
19 Asking them to pay a small amount for this service is not only common, it is fair and reasonable.
16 Currently our residents bear the burden of stress to our infrastructure and quality of life. This
18 small increase will ensure that the burden is shared with the visitors who enjoy our City. We are
7 asking them to pay their fair share.

1 Please join a unanimous City Council in voting YES on Measure TT!

223