



Ballot Measure Argument Rebuttal Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than 8-27-2018

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure Y for the General to be held on 11-6-18.

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure Y Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by anyone different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

| | |
|------------------|--------|
| Contact Person: | Phone: |
| Mailing Address: | |
| Fax: | Email: |

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.
 Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.
 If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.
 By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

| | | | |
|--|--|--|------------|
| Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District | Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District | Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization | Individual |
|--|--|--|------------|

| | | | | | | |
|----|---|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1. | Name: MARK W.A. HINKLE | Title: President, Silicon Valley Taxpayers Association | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Phone: [REDACTED] | Email: [REDACTED] | | | | |
| | Address: [REDACTED] Morgan Hill, CA 95037 | | | | | |
| | Signature: [REDACTED] | Date: 8-26-2018 | | | | |
| 2. | Name: | Title: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Phone: | Email: | | | | |
| | Address: | | | | | |
| | Signature: | Date: | | | | |
| 3. | Name: | Title: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Phone: | Email: | | | | |
| | Address: | | | | | |
| | Signature: | Date: | | | | |
| 4. | Name: | Title: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Phone: | Email: | | | | |
| | Address: | | | | | |
| | Signature: | Date: | | | | |
| 5. | Name: | Title: | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | Phone: | Email: | | | | |
| | Address: | | | | | |
| | Signature: | Date: | | | | |

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|--------|-------|
| Signers | <input type="checkbox"/> Registered | N/A | Signed | Dated |
| Bona Fide Association | <input type="checkbox"/> Verified | N/A | Signed | Dated |

SILICON VALLEY TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION

Dedicated to protecting the rights & interests of the taxpayers of
Silicon Valley against the over-reaching & over-spending of government

MARK W.A. HINKLE

MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

WEB: WWW.SVTAXPAYERS.ORG

MAILING ADDRESS: [REDACTED]

SAN JOSÉ, CA 95118

MAIN: [REDACTED]

DIRECT: [REDACTED]

E-MAIL: [REDACTED]

Jefferson Union High School District \$58 Parcel Tax: Rebuttal to the Argument in Favor of Measure Y

With this latest "temporary" parcel tax, the Jefferson Union High School District claims they need a tax increase because they are "devoted to providing quality public education...".

The district failed to get voter approval in the last \$85 parcel tax ballot measure, and now they want to try again for a more modest tax of \$58 per year for 10 years for most homeowners.

Have they earned this tax increase that will cost you over \$580 over the next 10 years (on top of the taxes you are already paying)?

Look at the student performances in two key subjects:

- 2016-17 students not meeting English literacy standards: 53.6%
- 2016-17 students not meeting Math literacy standards: 63.7%

The District, which spends \$11,269 per student, per year, rewarded parents and taxpayers by increasing the number of students who failed to meet English & Math standards!

Source: California Department of Education Data Partnership

Should you, the voters, reward the district for dropping scores in 2 key subjects?

If no, then vote NO on Measure Y.

The School District spends \$11,269 per student per year (ADA) with a student/teacher ratio of 19.1 to 1. That's \$215,237 per classroom yearly. Enough funding to make teachers the best paid teachers in California.

So, why do a majority of those funds not reach the classroom?

Tell the School Board to be fiscally responsible: vote NO on Measure Y.

If you reward failure, you will get more failure!


Just say NO to Measure Y.

For more information please visit us at:

<http://www.svtaxpayers.org/2018-sanmateo-measure-y>

FILED IN THE OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER
OF SAN MATEO COUNTY, CALIF.

AUG 27 2018

By:  DEPUTY CLERK