OFFICE OF
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER & ELECTIONS
COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

MARK CHURCH
CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &
ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of <u>and</u> against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than
_____8-27-18_____.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure_____ for the _____ to be held on ___Nov 6 2018___.

☑ Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure __U__   ☐ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure _____

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet

If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.

☒ Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet

Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument

If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.

Contact Person:	Phone:
Mailing Address:	
Fax:	Email:

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form

Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.

No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.

Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.

If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.

By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.

		Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1.	Name: MARK W.A. HINKLE Title: President: Silicon Valley Taxpayers Associaton	☐	☐	☑	☐
	Phone: ▅▅▅ Email: ▅▅▅				
	Address: ▅▅▅ Morgan Hill, CA 95037				
	Signature: ▅▅▅ Date: 8-27-2018				
2.	Name: Harland Harrison Title: Chair, Libertarian Party of San Mateo County CA	☐	☐	☑	☐
	Phone: ▅▅▅ Email: ▅▅▅				
	Address: ▅▅▅ Belmont CA 94002				
	Signature: ▅▅▅ Date: 8-24-2018				
3.	Name: Title:	☐	☐	☐	☐
	Phone: Email:				
	Address:				
	Signature: Date:				
4.	Name: Title:	☐	☐	☐	☐
	Phone: Email:				
	Address:				
	Signature: Date:				
5.	Name: Title:	☐	☐	☐	☐
	Phone: Email:				
	Address:				
	Signature: Date:				

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

LIBERTARIAN PARTY OF SAN MATEO COUNTY

BELMONT, CA 94002

Excerpts of the Minutes of the Central Committee Meeting
Tuesday, August 14, 2018

The officers of the Libertarian Party of San Mateo County are

Chair, Harland Harrison
Vice Chair, Tom Lippman
Treasurer, Doug Radtke

The Central Committee voted to oppose the following

Ballot Measures Opposed Nov 2018

San Mateo County Measure
San Mateo County Transit District: Sales Tax Measure

City Measures
City of Belmont: Hotel Room Tax Measure
City of Belmont: Appointed City Clerk Measure
City of Belmont: Appointed Treasurer Measure
Town of Colma: Transient Occupancy ("Hotel") Tax Measure
City of Daly City: Transient Occupancy (Hotel) Tax Measure
City of East Palo Alto: Commercial Office Space Parcel Tax Measure
City of Foster City: Transient Occupancy Tax Measure
City of Half Moon Bay: Cannabis Business Tax Measure
City of Millbrae: Bond Measure
City of Redwood City: Cannabis Business Tax Measure
City of San Carlos: Transient Occupancy Tax Measure
City of San Carlos: Cannabis Business Tax Measure
City of South San Francisco: Transient Occupancy Tax Measure
City of South San Francisco: Cannabis Business License Tax Measure

School District Measures
Jefferson Elementary School District: Bond Measure

Harland Harrison
Chair, Libertarian Party of San Mateo County CA

SILICON VALLEY TAXPAYERS ASSOCIATION
Dedicated to protecting the rights & interests of the taxpayers of
Silicon Valley against the over-reaching & over-spending of government

MARK W.A. HINKLE
MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

WEB: WWW.SVTAXPAYERS.ORG
MAILING ADDRESS:
███████
SAN JOSÉ, CA 95118

MAIN: ████████
DIRECT: ████████
E-MAIL: ████████

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure U

Where will this $30,000,000 go? For student classrooms or for employee condos?

Read the fine print: It·says the Board of Education can build homes for employees instead of any classrooms!

Just six years ago, they got $67,500,000 from Measure I to repair and upgrade the schools. Why would they borrow $30,000,000 now? Measure I passed to "enhance fire and security systems". How could they need money now for "safety/campus security systems"? Is $67,500,000 already gone? Didn't it make your schools safe? Or is something else planned for your money?

Read the find print: The Resolution calls for "establishing affordable teacher and employee rental housing now". After all, what would "attract quality teachers" into a "close-knit community" as they argue? Free rent might do that!

Why hide it? Perhaps because the scheme sounds illegal. Proposition 39·was passed to build classrooms, not homes for employees.

And the Argument in Favor promises no funds "for administrator salaries". Really?

Read the fine print: This law really says bond money can "reimburse the District" for "District staff" working on any project. That part is legal -- it's sneaky, but legal.

With fewer than 7200 students in Jefferson Elementary School District, Measure I already borrowed over $9400 for each student. Wasn't that enough for classrooms? What are they doing with all this money?

Maybe you think you can trust the current Board of Education of Jefferson Elementary School District to use your money wisely.

Read the fine print: This bond authorization lasts through 2047 with many elections coming.

Read the fine print: Then

Vote No on Measure U