



OFFICE OF
**ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-
 RECORDER & ELECTIONS**
 COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

MARK CHURCH
 CHIEF ELECTIONS OFFICER &
 ASSESSOR-COUNTY CLERK-RECORDER

Ballot Measure Rebuttal Argument Submission Form

If both an argument in favor of and against a measure have been selected for publication in the voter information pamphlet, a rebuttal to the argument in favor of or the argument against the measure may be submitted as outlined in this form.

The author of the argument in favor of the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument against the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument. Likewise, the author of the argument against the measure may prepare and submit a rebuttal argument to the argument in favor of the measure or may authorize in writing any other person or persons to prepare, submit, or sign the rebuttal argument.

A rebuttal argument shall not be accepted unless accompanied by this completed form, which shall contain the printed name(s) and signature(s) of the person(s) submitting it or, if submitted on behalf of a bona fide association of citizens/organization, the name of the association/organization and the printed name and signature of at least one of its principal officers.

Word count limit for Rebuttal Arguments = 250

The rebuttal arguments shall be submitted to the elections official conducting the election no later than _____.

These rules apply to all rebuttal arguments unless a rebuttal argument is otherwise provided by law.

Ballot Measure P for the _____ to be held on June 5, 2018

Rebuttal to Argument in Favor of Measure _____ Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure P

Signed by Exact Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected for the Voter Information Pamphlet	
If you are submitting a rebuttal argument and the individual(s) signing the rebuttal argument are the same as the individual(s) signing the original Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form, check the following box and complete the back side of this form.	
<input type="checkbox"/> Rebuttal Argument Is Signed by Same Individual(s) as Argument Already Selected For the Voter Information Packet	
Submitted by Different Individual(s) as the Opposing Primary Argument	
If the rebuttal argument is signed by <u>anyone</u> different than the signer(s) of the Ballot Measure Primary Argument Submission Form already submitted—including whether there is only one different individual or whether there are up to five new individuals—you must complete the section below, complete the back side of this form, and attach to this form the written authorization by the author that indicates: (i) your name(s); and (ii) the author's name, contact information, statement of authorization, and signature.	
Contact Person: <u>Sam Hindi</u>	Phone: _____
Mailing Address: _____	<u>Foster City, CA 94404</u>
Fax: _____	Email: _____

Please complete the reverse side of this form.

40 Tower Road, San Mateo, CA 94402
P 650.312.5222 F 650.312.5348 email registrar@smcacre.org web www.smcacre.org

Rebuttal Argument Signers Form			Each signer must designate in which capacity they are signing. Check the one box that applies.			
<p>No more than five signatures shall appear with any argument. If more than five signatures are submitted, the first five listed shall be printed.</p> <p>Names and titles listed will be printed in the order that they are listed below.</p> <p>If the signers are part of a bona fide association/organization, for each such signing individual(s), the title under the signer's name shall list the name of that bona fide association/organization and may include their position within that association/organization.</p> <p>By signing below, the undersigned state that they have read the argument and believe it not to be false or misleading.</p>			Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Member of the Governing Body of San Mateo County, a School District, or a Special District	Bona Fide Association of Citizens/Organization	Individual
1.	Name: PAM FRISSELLA Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED]	Title: Former Foster City Mayor Date: 3-24-18	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2.	Name: JENNIFER SEWITELLA Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED]	Title: LOCAL INSURANCE PROFESSIONAL Date: 3/24/18	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
3.	Name: CRAIG COURTIN Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED]	Title: RETIRED FSPD POLICE CHIEF Date: 3/24/18	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
4.	Name: RICHARD DAVID WYKOFF Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED]	Title: FORMER FOSTER CITY MAYOR Date: 3-25-18	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
5.	Name: AMIT SAINI Phone: [REDACTED] Address: [REDACTED] Signature: [REDACTED]	Title: CO-SECRETARY, FOSTER CITY PARKS & REC CITIZEN COMMITTEE Date: 03/25/18.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Submit a second form (this side only) for alternate signers attached to this form and the argument.

FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY

Signers	<input type="checkbox"/> Registered	N/A	Signed	Dated
Bona Fide Association	<input type="checkbox"/> Verified	N/A	Signed	Dated



Authorization Form

Change in Preparer, Submitter, or Signer of Rebuttal Arguments

PLEASE ONLY COMPLETE SECTIONS THAT ARE APPLICABLE

Authorization must be provided by the original author(s) of the primary argument(s) in favor of or against the specified measure, when a different person(s) will prepare, submit or sign the rebuttal argument. *California Elections Code §9167, §9317, §9504*

The undersigned author(s) hereby authorize(s) the following individual(s) (up to five) to sign prepare, or submit (whichever is applicable) the rebuttal argument to the primary argument in favor of/against Measure P for the election to be held on June 5, 2018
(date of election)

I. NEW SIGNER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Pam Frisella
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Jennifer Selvátella
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Craig Courtin
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Richard Wykoff
Name of Rebuttal Argument Signer: Amit Saini

II. NEW PREPARER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: _____
Name of Rebuttal Argument Preparer: _____

III. NEW SUBMITTER(S):

Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: _____
Name of Rebuttal Argument Submitter: _____

NAME(S) & SIGNATURE(S) OF PRIMARY ARGUMENT AUTHOR(S):

Sam Hindi

Printed Name and Signature of Author

Printed Name and Signature of Author

3/26/18

Date

Date

18 MAR 26 AM 11:44

Rebuttal to Argument Against Measure P

RECEIVED

15 The two opponents of Measure P **do not live in Foster City**. They live in Morgan Hill and
13 Belmont! They submit arguments like this against virtually every bond measure regardless of
14 the needs or specifics. **It's easy for them to oppose this common sense proposal because they**
13 **don't face flood risk or mandatory flood insurance costing US thousands each year!**

15 While they admit the need to improve the levee to protect Foster City from flooding, they
12 clearly don't understand what makes Measure P different from most bond proposals: **Measure**
7 **P is FAR less expensive than the alternative.**

12 **Foster City's residents and most respected leaders support Measure P** because of these simple
1 facts:

- 14 • **Measure P upgrades our levee to keep Foster City safe for about \$272 annually for the**
average homeowner.
- 11 • **Without Measure P, FEMA will designate Foster City as a flood zone, triggering**
8 **approximately \$2,000-\$3,000 in mandatory flood insurance costs.**

17 The choice is that simple: pay less to upgrade the levee and keep our homes, families and
14 businesses safe. Or pay a lot more for expensive, unnecessary flood insurance while remaining
3 at flood risk.

10 Foster City's respected police, firefighters and paramedics support Measure P because it
12 protects homes, schools, businesses and roads from flooding. Measure P protects our essential
12 city services and infrastructure so emergency services can respond in a disaster.

12 Foster City business leaders support Measure P because it's fiscally accountable. All funds stay
14 local and can only be used to improve the levee. Mandatory citizen oversight and audits ensure
5 funds are spent as promised.

10 **Protect Our City. Protect Your Savings. Vote YES on P.**

250